QUANTIFICATION OF DIFFUSENESS: TOWARD UNDERSTANDING SOUND FIELDS IN REVERBERATION CHAMBERS

Mélanie Nolan[1,2], Marco Berzborn[3], Efren Fernandez-Grande[1], Michael Vorländer[4]

[1] *Departamento de Ingeniería Audiovisual y Comunicaciones, Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
[2] *Laboratoire d'Acoustique de l'Université du Mans, Le Mans Université, Le Mans, France*
[3] *Department of the Built Environment, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands*
[4] *Institute for Hearing Technology and Acoustics, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany*

Abstract

The existence of a diffuse sound field is a fundamental assumption underlying sound absorption measurements in reverberation chambers. Despite decades of research, diffuseness remains complex and challenging to characterize quantitatively. This contribution discusses recent advances in sound field analysis, including array-based characterization techniques, which have substantially improved our understanding of the physical mechanisms governing sound field diffuseness in reverberation chambers. These developments shed new light on the origins of the limited reproducibility of reverberation-chamber sound absorption measurements across laboratories. We present perspectives on the measurement of sound absorption, the future role of reverberation chambers, and the definition and use of absorption parameters in acoustic modeling.

Keywords: *Sound field diffusion, reverberation chambers, sound absorption*

1. Introduction

For more than a century, reverberation chambers have served as the reference environment for determining the sound absorption of materials. Standardized methods, such as ISO 354 and ASTM C423, rely fundamentally on the assumption that the sound field inside the chamber is diffuse, implying that acoustic energy is uniformly distributed in space and arrives equally from all directions. Under these conditions, the measured reverberation time can be directly related to the equivalent absorption area of the tested specimen.

Corresponding author: *melanie.nolan@upm.es.*

Despite the widespread acceptance of this assumption, practical measurements have consistently exhibited substantial interlaboratory variability [1]. Differences exceeding the expected experimental uncertainty are commonly observed even when laboratories follow identical standards. Traditionally, these discrepancies have been attributed to the extent of diffuser treatment, chamber geometry, source or microphone positioning, or sample mounting conditions. However, more recent research has shown that many of these factors ultimately reflect a more fundamental characteristic of reverberation chambers: the sound field is rarely perfectly diffuse.

Recent developments in spherical microphone arrays, spatial sound-field decomposition, and wavenumber-domain analysis have shifted the study of reverberation chambers from relying primarily on statistical assumptions toward directly measuring the directional properties of the sound field. Collectively, these studies provide the first quantitative framework for evaluating diffuseness and reveal why deviations from ideal diffuse-field behavior influence measured absorption coefficients.

2. Quantifying diffuseness

A significant advance in the study of reverberation chambers came with the introduction of array-based sound-field analysis [1].

Nolan et al. [2] proposed a framework based on the wavenumber spectrum, in which the directional distribution of propagating plane waves is expanded into spherical harmonics. The resulting harmonic coefficients provide quantitative measures of isotropy, allowing the directional properties of the sound field to be characterized directly. The proposed methodology was evaluated across a variety of acoustic environments, including reverberation chambers with and without absorbing materials, an anechoic environment, and a room equipped with a 64-channel loudspeaker array designed to synthesize a diffuse sound field. The results demonstrated that the isotropy metric reliably distinguished between these different acoustic environments, establishing a quantitative framework for assessing sound-field diffuseness.

Subsequent work extended this framework to investigate how isotropy evolves during the decay. Nolan et al. [3] showed that the directional characteristics of the sound field are inherently time dependent. While the reverberant field generally becomes more isotropic after the direct sound has decayed, complete isotropy is rarely achieved, particularly at low frequencies where individual room modes dominate the sound field.

Additional experimental studies [4] revealed that diffuser systems substantially improve the directional uniformity of the sound field by redistributing acoustic energy among propagation directions, although they do not eliminate anisotropy completely. Likewise, chamber geometry, specimen placement, and source location introduce preferred propagation directions that remain observable even after extensive modal mixing. At low frequencies, where modal density is relatively low, these directional effects are particularly pronounced, whereas increasing modal overlap at higher frequencies generally produces sound fields that more closely approximate isotropic conditions.

Perhaps the most significant outcome of these studies was the observation that introducing an absorbing specimen into a reverberation chamber, as prescribed in standardized absorption measurements, substantially reduces the isotropy of the sound field. This finding demonstrated that the measurement process itself modifies one of the fundamental assumptions underlying the reverberation chamber method.

Direct measurements of the sound field incident on the specimen further confirmed that the absorber is not simply a passive element whose absorption is measured; instead, it actively modifies the incident sound field by altering the directional distribution of acoustic energy. This observation provides a compelling explanation for the longstanding problem of poor interlaboratory reproducibility of reverberation-chamber measurements. Rather than representing purely experimental uncertainty, differences between laboratories originate from variations in chamber diffuseness, which modifies the angular distribution of incident sound energy. The measured absorption coefficient therefore reflects both intrinsic material properties and the directional characteristics of the measurement environment.

These investigations have significantly advanced the understanding of sound-field diffuseness in reverberation chambers. Rather than being inferred indirectly from reverberation time or spatial pressure statistics, diffuseness can now be evaluated directly through the directional distribution of acoustic energy.

Many of the observed phenomena (including directional anisotropy, the influence of room modes, and the effect of absorbing specimens on the sound field) had long been anticipated from theoretical considerations. However, the array-based measurement techniques discussed above have made it possible to experimentally observe and quantify these effects. These developments provide a physical basis for assessing chamber performance and, together with concurrent advances in directional sound-field decay analysis, have substantially improved our understanding of the mechanisms governing sound propagation and sound absorption measurements in reverberation chambers.

3. Directional sound-field decay analysis

The characterization of diffuseness through isotropy metrics provided new insight into the spatial properties of reverberant sound fields. However, these measurements also revealed that diffuseness is not a static property of the chamber but evolves throughout the decay process. This motivated further investigations into the temporal development of the sound field following source interruption.

Using directional energy decay curves derived from spherical microphone array measurements, Berzborn et al. [5] showed that the angular distribution of sound energy changes significantly throughout the decay. When highly absorptive specimens are introduced into the chamber, sound arriving close to the specimen normal experiences stronger attenuation than grazing-incidence components. Consequently, the remaining late reverberation becomes increasingly dominated by particular propagation directions, producing time-dependent anisotropy and multi-exponential decay behavior. These observations fundamentally challenge the classical Sabine assumption that all room modes decay with identical damping. Instead, different groups of modes exhibit different decay constants depending on their interaction with the absorbing surface. Weakly damped axial modes often dominate the late decay, whereas modes interacting more strongly with the absorber decay rapidly.

An important consequence is that conventional linear regression methods used for reverberation-time estimation implicitly average over several distinct decay mechanisms. This helps explain why measured absorption coefficients may depend on the selected decay interval and why laboratories with different chamber configurations can obtain systematically different results.

4. Future perspectives

Recent developments suggest that reverberation chambers should no longer be regarded solely as *black-box* statistical measurement devices. Array-based measurement techniques now provide objective descriptors of isotropy, axial symmetry, and directional decay that can complement conventional reverberation-time measurements. These quantities offer valuable diagnostic information about chamber performance and may eventually support improved qualification procedures beyond the current reliance on diffuser requirements and indirect indicators of diffuseness.

These advances also raise fundamental questions about the interpretation of sound absorption measurements. In particular, the use of a single random-incidence absorption coefficient may require reconsideration. In highly reverberant environments, averaging the material response over all angles provides a meaningful representation of the material's behavior. However, in many ordinary spaces, such as classrooms, offices, and meeting rooms, sound often arrives from preferred directions, and the acoustic field can vary substantially with source and receiver positions. Under these conditions, a single averaged absorption value may not fully capture the interaction between the material and the sound field.

This has motivated increasing interest in more detailed approaches for characterizing acoustic materials, including angle-dependent absorption measurements and surface impedance characterization. Surface impedance provides a more complete description of material behavior because it describes not only the amount of acoustic energy absorbed by a surface but also how the surface modifies the reflected sound field. Such information is particularly valuable for acoustic modeling approaches that require physically meaningful boundary conditions rather than simplified scalar absorption parameters.

One example of these emerging approaches is the use of reverberation chambers to estimate angle-dependent surface impedance [6]. By combining array-based measurements with sound-field decomposition techniques, the reverberation chamber can be transformed from a device traditionally used to obtain a single random-incidence absorption coefficient into a tool capable of revealing detailed information about the directional interaction between sound waves and materials. This represents a broader transition toward a physically based understanding of sound absorption.

However, these developments must also be accompanied by improvements in acoustic simulation tools. Regardless of the numerical approach employed, one of the main limitations of current acoustic modeling remains the accuracy of the material data used as input. Even highly advanced simulation methods are constrained when the acoustic properties assigned to surfaces do not accurately represent their real behavior. More complete descriptions of materials, including angle-dependent impedance data, could therefore significantly improve the reliability of acoustic predictions [7].

Improved material characterization would also have a direct impact on the design of acoustic treatments. Currently, most absorbers are characterized under standardized laboratory conditions that may not fully represent their performance in practical applications. By measuring and modeling their behavior under more realistic sound-field conditions, acoustic treatments could be optimized for specific environments, such as spaces where sound arrives predominantly from certain directions or where limitations in available treatment area require more targeted solutions.

5. Conclusion

Recent advances in array-based sound-field characterization have enabled the diffuseness of reverberation chambers to be quantified experimentally, revealing the complex spatial and temporal behavior underlying conventional absorption measurements.

These developments have shown that absorbing specimens modify the incident sound field and that deviations from ideal diffuse-field conditions contribute to measurement variability between laboratories.

By moving beyond a single random-incidence absorption coefficient toward more detailed descriptions based on directional properties and surface impedance, future measurement approaches may provide more reliable input data for acoustic modeling and enable the design of optimized acoustic treatments.

6. Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ramón y Cajal Fellowship Program 2023, funded by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) of the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities, and by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under Grant 298797807.

References

[1] J. Balint, M. Berzborn, M. Nolan, and M. Vorländer, "Measuring sound absorption: The hundred-year debate on the reverberation chamber method," *Acoust. Today*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 13–21, 20123.

[2] M. Nolan, E. Fernandez-Grande, J. Brunskog, and C.-H. Jeong, "A wavenumber approach to quantifying the isotropy of the sound field in reverberant spaces," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 143, no. 4, pp. 2514–2526, 2018.

[3] M. Nolan, M. Berzborn, and E. Fernandez-Grande, "Isotropy in decaying reverberant sound fields," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 1077–1088, 2020.

[4] M. Nolan, S. A. Verburg, J. Brunskog, and E. Fernandez-Grande, "Experimental characterization of the sound field in a reverberation room," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 145, no. 4, pp. 2237–2247, 2019.

[5] M. Berzborn, M. Nolan, E. Fernandez-Grande, and M. Vorländer, "Directional sound field decay analysis in a reverberation room," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 158, pp. 4706–4720, 2025.

[6] M. Nolan, S. A. Verburg, E. Fernandez-Grande, J.-P. Groby, P. D'Antonio, and N. Xiang, "Reverberation-chamber measurement of angle-dependent surface impedance," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 159, pp. 2631–2642, 2026.

[7] M. Nolan, P. D'Antonio, and N. Xiang, "Introduction to the special issue on sound absorption and diffusion: Modeling, measurement, and application," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 159, pp. 4466–4469, 2026.